

## Section 106 MOA/PA Discussions

FTA determined the APE and notified the SHPD on

### Historic Hawaii Foundation

Letter 15SEPT08 They anticipate being a consulting and concurring party the MOA. Stated, "... appropriate mitigation commitments should be proposed." In regard to the fact that Project is going over historic bridges. (page 2)

Recommended mitigations: (page 3)

- Public access to documentation: have a web-based research platform with historic inventory information (interactive, geo-coded). Could be combined with State's inventory. Other potential partners could be OHA & DOD.
- National Register nominations: nominate identified 76 parcels or districts that are eligible
- City & County of Honolulu Preservation Program: establish a local preservation program consistent with Certified Local Government Program requirements, appoint qualified preservation staff, provide for on-going training and participation in land use matters
- Main Street program: support re-establishment of Main Street program, either by serving as the coordinator or cooperating with another coordinating agency, provide dedicated funds for implementation throughout life of the Project
- Restoration of Historic Irwin park: restored as an urban green space
- Station Design and Context Sensitive Solutions: designs should be responsive and unique

Letter 10DEC08: Concern about historic resources – no discussion of PA/MOA. Reminded of mitigations provided in 15SEPT08 letter. Requested a commitment to providing mitigation measures, timelines, and responsible parties be included in FEIS and ROD, in addition to any Section 106 documentation.

### Oahu Island Burial Council

Meeting 9JULY08 made motion to request in a letter to ACHP that OIBC be given signatory status to the MOA

Meeting 13AUG08 No minutes posted

Meeting 10SEPT08	Concern that not having signatory status would dilute MOA's effectiveness and City would be able to break MOA. Worried about how AIS process would fit with MOA. Decision to create task-force to discuss MOA. Task force progress to be given monthly at OIBC meetings.
Meeting 8OCT08	Decided to do corridor tour
Meeting 12NOV08	Bus tour day.
Meeting 10DEC08	Discusses schedule of subcommittee (formerly task force) meetings.
Meeting 14JAN09	Discussed PA. Two major points: ensure process does not shortchange the AIS process, make sure OIBC can review burial and archaeological plans.
Meeting 11FEB09	Advised that PA and MOA will be combined.
Meeting 11MAR09	Discussed AIS. Need to see mitigation plans and make sure they are included in the MOA (expected to see plans at 18APR09 meeting)
Meeting 8APR09	No discussion of MOA

\*no other meeting notes published by OIBC\*

#### Office of Hawaiian Affairs

Letter 23SEPT08:	Regarding DTS letter concerning participating Agency update enclosures (P&N; alternatives; and archaeological, cultural, and historic technical reports). Deferred to OIBC on decision-making authority for MOA. Advocated that OIBC & OHA be invited signatories to the MOA.
Letter 2FEB09	Response to DEIS. Request OHA be included as a consulting party to the MOA and continued consultation. Advocates for archaeological monitoring and to have an approved monitoring plan pursuant to the MOA. Request MOA provide direction on mitigation

#### State Historic Preservations Office

Meeting 1/27/08	Update Chinatown Nomination as a mitigation
--------------------	---

Letter 26SEPT08	<p>Response to Purpose &amp; Need and Alternatives Chapters, as well as, Historic Resources Technical Report. Supported suggestions offered by Historic Hawaii Foundation as proportional to the direct, indirect, and cumulative impacts of the corridor including. Added request that digitization of office's Oahu island inventory. If possible provide historic and contemporary Sanborn Fire Insurance maps to their office.</p> <p>Stated their office "appreciated" offers of HABS, interpretative signage, cultural landscape reports, historic context reports, and multiple property NRHP submissions. Restates that these are to be considered with HHF's proposed mitigations.</p>
National Park Service Meeting 17DEC08	Multi-party meeting on effects to historic resources. NPS and other agencies provided scoping-type input for the Historic Effects Report that was developed.
Letter 5FEB09	Identified effect to Pearl Harbor NHL. Identified concern with visual and noise impacts.
Meeting 4 March 09	Discussed access to and effects to WWII Valor in the Pacific NHM.
Meeting 9 March 09	Met with Oakland Office about effects WWII Valor in the Pacific NHM.
Field Visit 17 March 09	Visit to WWII Valor in the Pacific NHM. Conducted noise measurements and photography per NPS request to assess project effects.
Meeting 18 March 09	Met with Pearl Harbor Historic Partners to discuss access from the Project to sites and effects related to parking and access.
Letter 21MAY09	Questions and comments on the Historic Effects Report. Identified adverse effect, but did not suggest measures to mitigate or minimize harm.
Telecon 5 June 09	Addressed issues and questions raised by NPS on the Historic Effects Report.
Response Letter to	

NPS 21 June	Responded to questions raised in May letter and 5 June call. Provided revised project design that removed station touchdown locations from within the NHL boundary.
Telecon 30 June 09	Responded to outstanding questions. Provided backup noise information. Also clarifies location of CINCPAC HQ building, which NPS had miss-identified as a different building that is located between HQ building and the project alignment.

NOTE: On June 29 City received revised letter from SHPD on Determination of Effect. CITY and FTA accepted findings of letter to move project forward. Letter did not include explanation of determination that project would have an adverse effect on 11 additional resources, including PH NHL and the CINCPAC HQ NHL.

July 30 Meeting	Consulting parties meeting regarding project PA and mitigation. Several NPS comments primarily related to HABS/HALS procedural issues.
August 4 Meeting	Follow-up consulting party PA meeting. PA version was distributed that addressed NPS concerns from July 30 meeting. Request for post-construction noise monitoring stipulation was added to PA.
Letter AUG1109	Letter reiterated adverse effect opinion for CINCPACHQ and PH NHL. Repeated noise monitoring stipulation request. Acknowledged project would have no noise impact under FTA criteria, but requested additional study to other criteria that are not used for transit project impact assessment.
August 11 Meeting	FTA, SHPD, ACHP, NTHP, City. Generally a process meeting.
August 18 Meeting	FTA, City, SHPD, ACHP. Archaeological resources meeting.